<u>/</u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Allerent little	09/682,065	MUGICA ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diem K. Cao	2194
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment after fine</u>	al filed 9/12/2005.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 32-40, now renumbered 1-9.		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unal All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	e been received. e been received in Application No	
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (c) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) shoul		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☑ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendr	te <u>20050912</u> . ment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance
	ge napa Aran sa	CARMSON PARANT CONCAMER LINGSON PARANT CONCAMER LINGSON PARANT PARANT

Art Unit: 2194

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Jeffry Furr (Reg. No. 38,146) on September 26, 2005.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 32 (Amended) A <u>computerized</u> method of a software architecture that provides high versatility and performance, the method comprising the steps of:

having two dimensions, an Application Dimension and a Complex Organizational Referee Engine Dimension;

relating the Application Dimension to the different applications and relating the Complex Organizational Referee Engine Dimension to the Application Dimension;

having a plurality of terminal devices;

having a Complex Organizational Referee Engine (CORE) which is a compound of software components that can accept different kinds of software extensions dynamically in a hot plug fashion, which manages task processing and task scheduling, manages information exchange between the two dimensions of the software architecture, manages the extension hotplugging mechanism;, and manages the exchange of information with other instances of itself within the Complex Organizational Referee Engine Dimension related and with a plurality of

Art Unit: 2194

other Complex Organizational Referee Engines, thus wherein the CORE enabling communication between the different applications, providing both a the mechanism and the rules thereto;

having the Complex Organizational Referee Engine within the Complex Organizational Referee Engine dimension share information and integrate the system software architecture; and having applications based on abstractions that are composed of drivers, abstraction layers and a unique Complex Organizational Referee Engine;

having said Complex Organizational Referee Engine consists of a complex compound of software components that dynamically accepts software extensions and exchanges information with other Complex Organizational Referee Engines where wherein said abstraction layers consists of a software layer that the hides implementation detail and data structures of a specific software, and said software module extensions are comprised of an abstraction layer and a driver.

Claim 33 (Amended) The method of claim 32 in which said eore Complex

Organizational Referee Engine Dimension consists of a plurality of Complex Organizational

Referee Engines connected by a bidirectional communication means.

Claim 34 (Amended) The method of claim 32 in which said CORE consists of three parts.;

i) a Complex Organizational Referee Engine kernel, which manages the task processing and scheduling, manages the information exchange between the Complex Organizational

Art Unit: 2194

Referee Engine Dimension, and manages the dynamic extension different kinds of software extensions dynamically in a hot plug fashion;

ii) an InterExtensionCommunication and logic manager which manages the Complex Organizational Referee Engine's communication and tasks with a plurality of extensions; and

iii) an InterComplex Organizational Referee Engine Communication manager which manages the Complex Organizational Referee Engine's communication with a plurality of other Complex Organizational Referee Engines.

Claim 35 (Amended) The method of claim 32 in which the abstraction layer consists of two part.;

- i) a Complex Organizational Referee Engine Abstraction interface, which interfaces the software

 an extension with a the Complex Organizational Referee Engine; and
- ii) an Extension Knowledge Layer, which contains logic and knowledge about the operations of the software extensions.

Claim 36 (Amended) The method of claim 32 in which includes the step of having an extension driver layer that consists of two parts:

- i) an Abstraction-Driver interface, which interfaces the Abstraction layer with a <u>the</u> terminal device; and
- ii) a Driver logic used to control the terminal device.
- 3. Replace claims 39 and 40 with the following

Claim 39: The method of claim 32 further comprising replacing the terminal device with a new terminal device consisting of adding the step of changing the driver for the software extension.

Claim 40: The method of claim 32 further comprising adding a new terminal device consisting of adding the steps of:

- a) constructing a new software extension for the terminal device;
- b) interfacing the new software extension into the Complex Organizational Referee Engine;
- c) asking the Complex Organizational Referee Engine for the required data and information to handle the new software extension.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diem K. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 5:30AM 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,065

Art Unit: 2194

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist at 571-272-2100.

Diem Cao

MENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXACTION